From: Williams, Jonathan R. [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP

(FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=E099C65734B44D97BBB040607C615812-WILLIAMS, J]

**Sent**: 8/13/2018 2:49:17 PM

To: Zinn, Nicole [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group

(FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=ebb6c3c9f28c4542a826017575fcf442-Nicole Y Zinn]; Blankinship, Amy

[/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group

(FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=d180951a173741fa9a76a3a3a3eb6794-Blankinship, Amy]; Abdel-Saheb,

Ibrahim [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group

(FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=54d1863a1b524bd285b37ab4c026cae7-Ibrahim Abdel-Saheb]; Wait, Monica

[/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group

(FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=8f850c921cbc497c82df4ed753d1c5ea-Monica Wait]; Halpert, Daniel

[/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group

(FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=b990c6d69a3442008a8521c4a5191e4e-Halpert, Da]; Fehir, Richard

[/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group

(FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=56ce2174dc074048b911b8d2bb635fa9-Fehir, Richard]; Moriarty, Thomas

[/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group

(FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=f09911639eeb4c2e8fcc7c1578fbe6a3-Thomas F Moriarty]; OKeefe, Barry

[/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group

(FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=992f851044c64fbdae98c4a5e8931f02-Barry O'Keefe]; Negussie, Meheret

[/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group

(FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=c3b8557e03a54ad7a8a30cd234c42559-Meheret Negussie]; Phang, Whang

[/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group

(FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=30538e719c064c488767d6daa3111e55-Whang Phang]; Costello, Kevin

[/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group

(FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=a75e8c935c7e4f439f5a26b54ca0caf8-Kevin John Costello]; Dawson, Jeffrey

[/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group

(FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=b7281288675c408d9667266072f0ae21-Jeffrey Dawson]; Lowit, Michael

[/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group

(FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=1aeb8079b3324e22bf4467598df6c522-Lowit, Michael]; Anderson, Brian

[/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group

(FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=ce7d6e5ad2e94b3f8f5ac4d839a6c268-Brian Anderson]

Subject: Tebuconazole Meeting DFR/TTR Clarification

Attachments: Tebuconazole DCI 9.13.17.pdf; Tebuconazole Scooping Document.pdf

## Good morning all,

Some of you have asked for more information about what Janelle Kay (the representative for a cost-sharing consortium for tebuconazole data development) would like to know about the DFR/TTR studies during our meeting tomorrow (see the agenda in the meeting invite). I asked her to clarify and she wrote:

"I was hoping to have a response from the contractors before I respond, but I haven't heard back yet. The TF was currently thinking of running the DFR studies on wheat and peanuts using 3.6F based on the use of tebuconazole. Since peanuts have a limited geographic use area, there was a thought we could run 2, instead of the typical 3. However, we also weren't sure if EPA considered wheat/peanuts significantly different enough. Also, the TF may have access to a grape study conducted in the EU, but with a formulation not registered in the US (and the study wasn't conducted in the US). We wanted input if EPA would even consider this study in lieu of a new study. Again, the above is preliminary, so it may change in the next day or two and I'll update you accordingly."

I also did a little digging into our rational for asking for these studies in the GDCI (attached). According to the Scooping Document for tebuconazole (attached):

1. We don't have TTR or DFR data and estimated exposure is not minimal compared to the LOC (pg 3);

- 2. The LOC for tebuconazole for turf exposure is 300 and so an MOE of >3,000 is needed to negate the need for a new TTR study; our estimates show and LOC of <3,000 and so a new study is needed (pg 9);
- 3. While aggregate exposure is not of concern, the short-term aggregate risks need to be evaluated, because of concerns about exposure from golf course turf (pg 11);
- 4. Because there was an application rate error made in past FAR analysis and this effects some of the results and because of a lack of DFR data, we had to go on defaults for our modeling, and so we would like to see actual DFR data (pg 12);
- 5. Also, the post-application exposure LOC is 300, with an MOE of 600 for some crops, and so refinement to these numbers may be needed (pg 13).

NB: These points were drawn from a quick reading of the document and I encourage anyone with more questions to look further into the Scooping Document.

Finally, I reviewed a sampling of the REI for a few of the registrations of tebuconazole. There are over 500 registrations of tebuconazole with multiple use sites, and so this is a very brief sampling (I picked a few labels at random and scanned them for an REI):

Product	Site	REI
Nufarm Tebuconazole 3.6 F Fungicide	Peanuts and grasses for seed	12 hrs
Elite® 45 DF Foliar Fungicide	Grapes, bananas, plantains, various nuts	12 hrs
		r
	Appels, pears, loquat, mayhaw, quince	5 days
RAXIL <sup>®</sup> 2.6 FSeed Treatment	BARLEY, CORN, OATS, TRITICALE,	None
Fungicide	WHEAT	
PROCEED ™ CONCENTRATE	Barley, Triticale and Wheat	12 hr
Tebuconazole 4.35 SE Concentrate	roses, flowers, trees	None

Thank you, Jon

Jonathan R Williams
Chemical Review Manager
Pesticide Re-Evaluation Division RMIB II
Office of Pesticide Programs
Office of Chemical Safety and Pollution Prevention
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
williams.jonathanr@epa.gov
703-347-0670